UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/759,536 | 01/20/2004 | Atsuhiro Yamashita | 70366-011 | 7109 | |
| | 7590 10/17/200 ', WILL & EMERY | EXAMINER | | | |
| 600 13th Street, | N.W. | ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I | | | |
| Washington, Do | C 20003-3090 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2629 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/17/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/759,536 | YAMASHITA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| ABBAS I. ABDULSELAM | 2629 | | |

| | ABBAS I. ABDULSELAM | 2629 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | Callea |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | ,, | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reig | acted claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reju | scied ciaims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | rplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-28. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Abbas I Abdulselam/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 2629 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons as set forth in a previous office action. Note that Kitai et al. (USPN 7133009) covers the disputed limitations with respect to Fig. 6a-b and Fig. 5a-b as explained in the office action.